

PDAIE

A Bulletin for the Membership of the Pacific Maritime Association

Vol. 8, No.10 - October 1996

# PMA Launches Internet Website

The Pacific Maritime Association introduced its Internet website in August 1996 at http://www.pmanet.org as a service to its member companies. Accessible with standard browsers such as Netscape Navigator® and Microsoft Internet Explorer®, the new website will also provide information to educational institutions and libraries, the press, and individuals interested in water-borne cargo transportation and labor relations in the Industry.

Changing and growing weekly, the site currently includes a listing of PMA member companies with links to their websites, the addresses and phone numbers at all of PMA's offices and training facilities, and tables of average weekly man-hours both by labor class and by PMA administrative area and monthly tonnage by cargo sector. The website also has a search engine to search for words and phrases and a feedback form for visitors to submit comments and suggestions to PMA. The first two pages of the three most recent issues of PMA Update are also available online.

Future additions will include, as Adobe Acrobat<sup>®</sup> files, the last several years of PMA Update, the 1995 PMA Annual Report, the 1996 Revision of the Pacific Coast Marine Safety Code, and the new longshore and clerks' labor contract documents, when available. Adobe Acrobat files are fully searchable, and they can be printed to reproduce the original document. The Acrobat file reader is free, and it can be downloaded from http://w1000. mv.us.adobe.com/acrobat/main.html. Current versions of Navigator and Internet Explorer include the "plug-in" for Adobe Acrobat.

Currently, the PMA website is being hosted offsite by AT&T. However, it will soon be moved to an in-house server so that PMA can add additional features, such as increased security restricting access to certain information only to PMA member companies. The website address will not change when the site is moved.

Comments and suggestions regarding the website can be submitted via electronic mail to *d.dayan@worldnet.att.net* or to PMA Research, P.O. Box 7861, San Francisco, CA 94120-7861.



PMA has traditionally measured longshore productivity by the number of tons of cargo moved for each hour paid. Using this measure, productivity in 1972/73 was 1.987 weighted tons per man-hour, and it increased regularly to a peak of 9.55 tons for every longshore and clerk man-hour paid in contract year 1993/94. After dropping back to 9.43 tons per hour in 1994/95, it remained nearly flat in 1995/96. (See the chart titled Weighted Tons per Longshore & Clerk Hour Paid, above.)

Contract Year

This two-year break in growth exhibits a sharp contrast to the pattern of increases in productivity which was the norm before 1994/95. The increase in productivity experienced prior to 1994/95 corresponds to the Industry's huge capital investment in vessels and marine cargo terminals, which continued past 1994 and is expected to continue into the foreseeable future.

The productivity data shown on the chart above was calculated by dividing all longshore and clerk man-hours, excluding travel hours, into the total weighted tonnage. Weighted tonnage is the sum of the following:

- · Containerized tonnage calculated by multiplying reported TEUs by 17,
- Autos & Trucks tonnage calculated

based on 40 cubic feet to the ton,

- Lumber & Logs tonnage based on 1,000 board feet to a ton.
- · General Cargo tons as manifested, and
- Weighted Bulk tonnage calculated by dividing reported short tons by 50.

The two smaller charts, above, show the total weighted tonnage by contract year and the total hours paid at longshore and clerk occupation codes in each contract year.

### **Annual Percentage Changes**

The patterns of change in weighted tonnage and in longshore and clerk man-hours are shown in the three graphs at the top of page 2. On the first graph, the percentage change in weighted tonnage and hours between each contract year in the period is shown. The solid line plots the annual changes in weighted tonnage, and the dotted line plots annual changes in hours paid.

The second graph in the series shows the accumulated percentage changes in each succeeding year for the weighted tonnage and man-hours, and it illustrates how man-hours have remained at about the same level for the last 23 years while tonnage has increased on a relatively continual basis.

The next chart, titled Weighted Tons per Hour Paid: % Change from Previous Year, shows the annual percentage change in productivity by contract year. During the last 23 years, the trend shown is one of a gradual reduction in productivity *gains*, with a move into negative territory in 1994/95.

#### **Reducing the Hours Base**

Defining the components for a consistent measure of productivity is a challenge. The values described above were based on all hours paid at all longshore and clerk occupation categories, but not all companies use the longshore work force for several of the occupation categories included. Thus, as more employers use the longshore work force to fill mechanics jobs, for example, the effect of these occupations on productivity values is correspondingly inconstant.

These occupations include ILWU mechanics, grain elevator jobs in the Pacific Northwest, and occupations covered by several local area warehouse agreements. The values discussed below were calculated using a reduced hours base which excludes the hours paid at these occupations.



The chart above demonstrates, for a twelve year period, the effect on productivity values of applying a lesser hours base. The data for the alternative hours base include all longshore and clerk man-hours paid since contract year 1983/84 except those occupations described in the paragraph above. Productivity calculated using the reduced base is, of course, slightly higher in 1983—5.48 compared with 5.33 using the larger hours base—, but the difference in 1995/96 is greater: using the reduced hours base, productivity is 10.46 weighted tons per hour as compared to 9.43 with the larger base.

Month19941995199612 Mo.JAN143.6147.8151.72.64%FEB144.0148.3152.22.63MAR144.4148.7152.92.82APR144.7149.3153.62.88MAY144.9149.6154.02.94JUN145.8149.9154.12.80JUL145.8149.9154.52.86SEP146.9150.6155.12.99OCT147.0151.02.72NOV147.3150.92.44DEC147.2150.92.51	CONSUMER PRICE INDEX U.S. CITY AVERAGE - ALL ITEMS (1982-84 = 100) Urban Wage Earners & Clerical Workers											
JAN143.6147.8151.72.64%FEB144.0148.3152.22.63MAR144.4148.7152.92.82APR144.7149.3153.62.88MAY144.9149.6154.02.94JUN145.4149.9154.12.80JUL145.8149.9154.32.94AUG146.5150.2154.52.86SEP146.9150.6155.12.99OCT147.0151.02.72NOV147.3150.92.44DEC147.2150.92.51	Month	1994	1995	1996	12 Mo.							
FEB144.0148.3152.22.63MAR144.4148.7152.92.82APR144.7149.3153.62.88MAY144.9149.6154.02.94JUN145.4149.9154.12.80JUL145.8149.9154.32.94AUG146.5150.2154.52.86SEP146.9150.6155.12.99OCT147.0151.02.72NOV147.3150.92.44DEC147.2150.92.51	JAN	143.6	147.8	151.7	2.64%							
MAR   144.4   148.7   152.9 <b>2.82</b> APR   144.7   149.3   153.6 <b>2.88</b> MAY   144.9   149.6   154.0 <b>2.94</b> JUN   145.4   149.9   154.1 <b>2.80</b> JUL   145.8   149.9   154.3 <b>2.94</b> AUG   146.5   150.2   154.5 <b>2.86</b> SEP   146.9   150.6   155.1 <b>2.99</b> OCT   147.0   151.0   2.72     NOV   147.3   150.9   2.44     DEC   147.2   150.9   2.51	FEB	144.0	148.3	152.2	2.63							
APR 144.7 149.3 153.6 <b>2.88</b> MAY 144.9 149.6 154.0 <b>2.94</b> JUN 145.4 149.9 154.1 <b>2.80</b> JUL 145.8 149.9 154.3 <b>2.94</b> AUG 146.5 150.2 154.5 <b>2.86</b> SEP 146.9 150.6 155.1 <b>2.99</b> OCT 147.0 151.0 2.72   NOV 147.3 150.9 2.44   DEC 147.2 150.9 2.51	MAR	144.4	148.7	152.9	2.82							
MAY144.9149.6154.02.94JUN145.4149.9154.12.80JUL145.8149.9154.32.94AUG146.5150.2154.52.86SEP146.9150.6155.12.99OCT147.0151.02.72NOV147.3150.92.44DEC147.2150.92.51	APR	144.7	149.3	153.6	2.88							
JUN145.4149.9154.1 <b>2.80</b> JUL145.8149.9154.3 <b>2.94</b> AUG146.5150.2154.5 <b>2.86</b> SEP146.9150.6155.1 <b>2.99</b> OCT147.0151.02.72NOV147.3150.92.44DEC147.2150.92.51	MAY	144.9	149.6	154.0	2.94							
JUL145.8149.9154.32.94AUG146.5150.2154.52.86SEP146.9150.6155.12.99OCT147.0151.02.72NOV147.3150.92.44DEC147.2150.92.51	JUN	145.4	149.9	154.1	2.80							
AUG146.5150.2154.5 <b>2.86</b> SEP146.9150.6155.1 <b>2.99</b> OCT147.0151.02.72NOV147.3150.92.44DEC147.2150.92.51	JUL	145.8	149.9	154.3	2.94							
SEP146.9150.6155.1 <b>2.99</b> OCT147.0151.02.72NOV147.3150.92.44DEC147.2150.92.51	AUG	146.5	150.2	154.5	2.86							
OCT147.0151.02.72NOV147.3150.92.44DEC147.2150.92.51	SEP	146.9	150.6	155.1	2.99							
NOV147.3150.92.44DEC147.2150.92.51	OCT	147.0	151.0		2.72							
DEC 147.2 150.9 2.51	NOV	147.3	150.9		2.44							
	DEC	147.2	150.9		2.51							



The change in annual productivity gain, however, is still apparent using the reduced hours base. Even though there was not a negative change between 1993/94 and 1994/95, the last two contract years still have not had the increases in productivity seen in previous periods.

This argues that the additional hours have not caused the reduction in productivity improvements over the recent past.

#### **Productivity by Area**

The four charts to the left show productivity values by PMA Administrative Area by contract year from 1983/84 to 1995/96. The data plotted in these graphs use the reduced hours base described above.

Two graphs are shown on each chart: one plots annual productivity calculated using weighted tonnage data, and the other plots annual productivity calculated based on total tons—*i.e.*, bulk tonnage has not been reduced to one-fiftieth.

The two graphs for both Southern and Northern California are consistently parallel throughout the period shown. However, the two different measures of productivity in the Washington Area are less closely matched than the California Areas, and in the case of the Oregon Area, the two measures are very different.

These differences may be attributed almost completely to the amount of bulk tonnage handled in each Area as a percent of the total tonnage reported. In calendar year 1995, nearly 80% of Oregon's total tonnage was bulk cargo. By contrast, the California Areas each had less than 15% reported as bulk.

The table shows the weighted tonnage productivity values by Area at the begin-

ning and end of the period with the total percentage changes and annual cumulative percentage changes in these values.

#### Wtd. Tons per L/S & Clerk Hour Paid

Area	Produc 1983/84	ctivity in 1995/96	% Incr. (12 Yrs.)	Annual Cumul. %
Washington	5.52	11.74	+112.6%	+6.5%
Oregon	3.58	5.13	+ 43.3%	+3.0%
No. Cal.	5.57	10.14	+ 82.1%	+5.1%
So. Cal	6.15	11.31	+ 83.8%	+5.2%
COAST	5.48	10.46	+ 90.8%	+5.5%





## "Weighted" Tonnage: % Discharged vs. % Loaded % Discharged



PMA UPDATE — Volume 8, Number 10

% Loaded

REGI	REGISTRATION				STATS (For 52 Payroll Weeks)						PORT HOURS (Year-to-date)				TONNAGE BY PORT AREA (For12 months-to-date & YTD)									
	(At 9	/30/96)	(Ending	9/28/96)			Hours Paid:				Hours Paid at		at	% of C	% of Category Coast Total (12 M				? Months-to-Date)			1990	96 YTD	
	•	Class	Number	Annual	Wkly	Out o	f Other	Cas-	Inac-	P/R Wks 1-	40, '96	Occ C	odes	Exp.	Cont'r	Lmbr	Autos	Other	Bulk		1996 YTD	Coast	'96 as a	Cstwise
ILWU LOCAL/PORT AREA	TOTAL	<u>"B"</u>	Working	Hrs Pd	PGP	Port	Local	uals	tives	Avg. Wkly	% Cst		Frm	Rates*	RU's	Logs	Trucks	Gen'l	Cargo	TOTAL	(Jan-Aug)	Total	<u>% of '9</u> 5	Loaded
Longshoremen	NO	. NO.	NO.	HRS	\$	%	%	%	%	HRS	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	TONS	%	%	TONS
Southern California		_																						
29 San Diego	42	0	41	1,524	16	4.0	7.8	26.8	3.1	1,952	0.6	11.4	13.5	17.8	0.1	2.3	1.5	1.1	1.2	0.6	832,091	0.6	114.4	0
13 Los Angeles/Long Beach	3,010	/51	2,966	1,942	< 1	0.5	3.1	6.8	0.4	179,148	52.3	24.9	9.1	15.3	58.7	4.1	43.4	50.3	22.3	45.9	66,538,487	47.1	102.5	35,394
40 Port Rueneme	2 1 2 7	762	2 002	1,949	- 1	0.0	7.9	24.5 7.6	0.0	5,190	1.5	13.9 24 E	0.0	22.1 15 5	< 0.1	< 0.1	<u> </u>	9.7		47.2	<u>1,227,072</u>	<u> </u>	00.0 102.2	25 204
Northern California	3,137	102	3,092	1,937	< 1	0.7	3.4	7.0	0.4	100,290	54.4	24.5	9.0	15.5	0.00	0.4	53.5	01.1	23.0	47.Z	66,596,250	48.6	102.2	30,394
10 San Francisco Bay Aroa	002	20	9/1	1 660	2	12	0.4	2.0	20	12 7/2	10 E	20.2	7 0	6 9	1/ 0	0.1	110	71	12	10.2	1/ 221 12/	10.1	01.4	66 194
54 Stockton	902 54	60	04 I 53	1,009	2 50	1.3	12.8	21.3	2.9	42,743	12.5	20.3	7.0 5.7	0.0	/ 0.1	0.1	14.0	1.1	1.3	0.8	1 152 067	10.1	91.4 81.2	00,104
18 Sacramento	30	15	30	1,538	147	17.4	17.5	18.7	2.5	1,536	0.3	21.9	6.5	12.6	< 0.1	0.4	< 0.1	2.3	1.2	0.4	675,447	0.0	97.3	0
14 Eureka	34	1	34	1,052	257	42.8	3.4	3.7	0.3	528	0.2	12.1	9.7	3.8	-	1.3	-	2.7	0.5	0.3	344,767	0.2	87.8	23,878
Northern California Total	1,020	111	958	1,654	19	2.7	2.2	5.3	2.8	47,811	14.0	26.7	7.7	7.1	14.9	1.8	14.0	13.7	5.5	11.8	16,493,415	11.7	90.8	90,062
Oregon										,											, ,			
12 North Bend/Coos Bay	103	7	98	1,561	36	10.7	19.8	9.1	1.8	4,404	1.3	8.4	6.9	7.3	< 0.1	12.8	< 0.1	1.2	5.1	1.8	2,395,452	1.7	101.7	1,547
53 Newport	8	0	8	1,029	321	79.0	49.1	1.2	0.0	70	0.0	8.3	4.4	2.8	-	0.4	-	-	-	< 0.1	8,628	0.0	303.9	0
50 Astoria	57	0	57	810	329	75.5	7.7	2.2	5.4	267	0.1	4.0	4.4	3.1	-	0.7	-	-	-	< 0.1	15,471	0.0	38.7	0
8 Portland	472	100	463	1,815	5	3.0	8.4	5.3	1.1	21,763	6.4	14.0	7.0	5.7	3.0	3.0	16.9	3.0	20.0	8.9	11,448,156	8.1	95.4	30,142
4 Vancouver, WA	149	44	149	1,905	3	10.0	9.8	6.7	0.9	7,072	2.1	14.1	6.4	16.7	< 0.1	2.4	1.2	4.0	7.7	2.5	3,195,186	2.3	103.2	0
21 Longview, WA	205	-27	200	1,959	9	10.2	6.4	6.1	4.5	9,387	2.7	9.0	8.1	7.4	< 0.1	26.4		5.5	17.8	5.9	7,730,419	5.5	11.3	31,225
Oregon Iotal	994	1/8	975	1,768	30	8.8	9.5	6.0	1.9	42,963	12.5	12.3	7.1	8.0	3.1	45.7	18.1	13.7	50.6	19.1	24,793,312	17.6	90.2	62,914
Washington	00	0	00	4 075	400	10.0	447	7.0	0.0	0 770		5.0	0.0	0.0	.0.1	40.0		4.0		0.0	400 440		400 5	04.077
24 Aberdeen 27 Port Angolog	89 59	0	88 57	1,375	108	19.3	14.7	7.0	0.0	2,778	0.8	5.3	8.0	0.9	< 0.1	10.0	-	1.0	-	0.3	428,410	0.3	103.5	34,077
51 Port Gamble	13	0	13	777	203 443	85.5	18.6	2.5	0.0	37	0.2	2.7	0.0 4 2	3.2		J.Z		< 0.1	0.5	<ul><li>0.2</li><li>0.1</li></ul>	200,401	0.2	65.4	21,910
47 Olympia	22	Ő	22	906	313	32.8	40.5	6.0	1.0	570	0.2	4.2	13.4	2.8	-	1.8	-		-	< 0.1	47,749	0.0	110.8	0
23 Tacoma	453	70	447	1,955	< 1	1.8	3.5	13.6	0.5	26,017	7.6	21.4	8.9	4.6	9.9	16.8	10.7	2.9	10.5	10.0	14,900,411	10.6	94.1	0
19 Seattle	586	163	575	1,796	< 1	2.6	3.6	9.3	0.4	31,504	9.2	27.2	8.1	10.0	13.4	0.5	3.9	5.0	6.7	10.4	14,234,320	10.1	84.8	34,952
32 Everett	68	0	65	1,448	154	18.6	9.8	10.5	1.8	1,943	0.6	7.1	7.7	5.6	< 0.1	6.5	-	0.4	0.6	0.3	429,706	0.3	97.4	2,622
25 Anacortes	13	0	13	1,277	187	45.7	37.0	3.9	0.0	365	0.1	10.4	9.5	4.3	-	0.6	-	-	0.5	0.1	196,123	0.1	74.6	0
7 Bellingham	32	4	32	1,540	52	9.6	23.3	9.9	0.0	1,525	0.4	7.8	9.4	11.1	< 0.1	0.1		2.2	1.6	0.6	792,862	0.6	107.9	923
Washington Total	1,334	237	1,312	1,740	40	6.6	5.5	10.8	0.5	65,475	19.1	22.4	8.5	7.2	23.2	46.1	14.6	11.4	20.3	21.8	31,312,688	22.2	90.3	94,484
Total/Average	6,485	1,288	6,337	1,827	16	3.3	4.5	7.7	1.0	342,539	100.0	22.9	8.5	11.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	141,197,665	100.0	95.8	282,854
% Change from Update of 10/95	+3.2	+47.0	+3.1	+0.1	+23.1	-0.7	0.0	-4.8	-0.2	-1.3		+0.1	-0.2	-0.5	-1.0%	-11.8%	-18.4%	-7.8%	0.6%	-2.1%				-4.2%
Cierks	_		_	4 700						Percenta	ae			100	25 ar	d 1	006	Mon	thly	Tonn	200 26 2			
29 San Diego	5	0	5	1,739	10	17.5	41.1	5.5	1.2	of 199	5			193	JJ al			WIUII	uny an	101111	aye as a	~ ~		
63 Los Angeles/Long Beach	790	3	780	2,373	< 1	4.0	30.0	4.5	0.0	Averag	е		1	Perce		195	15 A	vera	ge iv	iontri	ily tonna	ge		
14 Fureka	3	0	3	2,040	***	14.0	38.9	0.0	0.0	Toppad	y Ie		Dv	Comm	Jan	uary	1995	I hrou	igh Au	igust 1	1996	(anth)		
34 SF Bay Area & Delta	278	4	268	2.342	2	2.9	4.4	1.0	1.4	140%	0		ВУ	Comm	oaity I	ype c	by ivioi	nth (ea	ach Ba	ar Rep	presents 1 M	ionth)		
40 Portland	110	0	109	2,321	< 1	35.3	6.3	1.9	3.4	1000/							п							
23 Tacoma	60	0	59	2,625	-	0.0	43.7	2.9	0.0	130% -														
52 Seattle	172	2	171	2,681	< 1	16.1	10.2	3.9	0.0	120% -				ПП			Шл			ппГ	]			
Total/Average	1,430	9	1,407	2,557	1	5.2	11.6	3.7	0.7	110% -	-			ШЫ	Π.					11161		Π	пП П	
Foremen/Walking Bosse	es									100%	rdL	0										h		
29 San Diego	2	0	2	***	***	0.0	70.9	0.4	1.3	000/0	Щ						ΠL	┥╷╷╷				"川"	וייןן 📙	4
46 Port Hueneme	6	-	6	2,101	36	1.0	22.0	0.0	0.0	90% -		-		圠	∏H∥ ╙						Ч µ ₪		Ш 📗	
94 Los Angeles/Long Beach	290	-	288	2,876	< 1	0.2	5.0	0.0	3.2	80% -				ш				பு 📗			Ч		_	
91 Northern Calif. Area	77	-	76	2,337	37	0.2	7.2	0.0	1.4	70%				L										
92 Portland	51	-	50	2,593	14	12.1	14.8	0.0	7.0	100%=	Con	taineriz	zed	Lumł	ber & I	ogs	Aut	os & T	rucks	G	eneral Cargo		Bulk Ca	rgo
	97	-	97	2,621	9	10.2	11./	0.0	1.3	Average	y con										cingo			0-
Iotal/Average	523	-	519	2,709	9	3.1	8.3	0.0	3.0															

\* Longshore and Clerk hours only. \*\*\* "Annual Hrs Pd" and "Wkly PGP" for groups of less than five individuals are not shown, but the data are included in category averages.

UPDATE - Compiled by PMA Research